UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,043	08/29/2006	Kazuyuki Sashida	52235	6489
	7590 10/28/200 ABRAMS, BERDO &	EXAMINER		
1300 19TH STREET, N.W. SUITE 600			FANG, SHANE	
WASHINGTON	N,, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4131	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		10/591,043	SASHIDA ET AL.	T			
	omee Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		SHANE FANG	4131				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21 Juno 2008					
2a)□							
3)□	, 						
ا ا(د	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice un	idei Ex parte Quayle, 1950) O.D. 11, 433 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🛛)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requiremen	ıt.				
	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Exa						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)						
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	• , ,	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/29/2006</u> .	Pape 5) Notice	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application er:				

Application/Control Number: 10/591,043 Page 2

Art Unit: 4131

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it does not end in a period. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 1 shows an extra period in the first paragraph. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over **Sashida et al. (US 6720374 B2)**.

Sashida et al. discloses a biodegradable plasticizer for providing aliphatic polyester, in particular, polylactic acid.

As to claims 1-2, Sashida et al. further discloses aliphatic polyester composition comprising an aliphatic polyester, polyglycerol acetic ester and 5-50 parts by weight of a

compound (claim 12 and 13) The disclosed structure shown in claim 12 of the reference is a species of Formula (1) recited in the claim 1 and 2.

Sashida et al. is silent on the average acylation rate. In view of the substantially identical composition, the adduct would possesses the claimed acylation rate properties. Since the PTO does not have proper means to conduct experiments, the burden of proof is now shifted to applicants to show otherwise. In re Best, 562 F. 2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977); In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § 2112.

As to claim 3, the reference discloses the plasticizer in claims 1 used for polylactic acid (Abstract)

As to claim 4, the reference discloses the aliphatic polyester composition recited in claims 1-2 to be used for making a film, a sheet, a bag by molding (Abstract).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHANE FANG whose telephone number is (571)270-7378. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 8 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. EST..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Sample can be reached on (271)272-1376. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 4131

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David R. Sample/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 4131

s.f.